

Safeguards and Security (RL-0020)

**A. M. Umek, Vice President of Safety and
Health/(509) 376-0543**



***Emergency Vehicle Obstacle Course Instructor
Training***

Overview

This section addresses Project Baseline Summary (PBS) RL-0020, *Safeguards and Security* (SAS).

NOTE: Unless otherwise noted, all information contained herein is as of the end of June 2006.

Notable Accomplishments

- Transferred Voluntary Protection Program (VPP) STAR certificate from Protection Technology Hanford to Fluor Hanford
- Performed a walk down of the Patrol Training Academy (PTA) obstacle course for heat stress issues; monitoring will be conducted using the CorTemp™ system
- Conducted a local law enforcement agency exercise with Benton County Sheriff's Office and Richland Police Department
- Closed Material Balance Area (MBA) 216 to support demolition of the 232-Z Facility
- Awarded the Patrol Training Academy Range 3 upgrade contract
- Assisted Washington Closure Hanford with setting up its own Material Control and Accountability program (training custodians, providing example procedures, and ordering forms and seals)
- Completed negotiations with Hanford Patrol K-9 handlers
- Conducted Emergency Vehicle Obstacle Course Instructor Training for area law enforcement agencies

CorTemp™ Ingestible Core Body Temperature Sensor



CorTemp™ Data Recorder



FY 2006 FH Funds vs. Spend Forecast (\$M)

		Projected FY 2006 Funding	FY 2006 Fiscal Year Spend Forecast	Variance
RL-20	Safeguards & Security	\$ 83.0	\$ 75.5	\$ 7.5

FY 2006 Schedule/Cost Performance (\$M)

	Budgeted Cost of Work Scheduled	Budgeted Cost of Work Performed	Actual Cost of Work Performed	Schedule Variance \$	Schedule Variance %	Cost Variance \$	Cost Variance %	Budget At Completion
Safeguards & Security	\$56.9	\$56.5	\$50.8	-\$0.5	-0.8%	\$5.7	10.0%	\$78.1

Numbers are rounded to the nearest \$0.1M.

Schedule Performance (-\$0.5M/-0.8%): The schedule variance is within the established +/- 10 percent or \$1M threshold; no variance analysis is required.

Cost Performance (+\$5.7M/+10.0%): The cost performance variance is due to demobilization of the Security Enhancement Project, Patrol materials lagging, pass backs, and budgeted overhead costs not being realized.

Performance Analysis FYTD and Monthly (\$M)

